

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/692,935 | Applicant(s) WOODS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Robert Sellers | Art Unit 1712 | |

All Participants:

(1) Robert Sellers.

(2) Stephen D. Harper.

Status of Application: Appeal

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection

Claims discussed:

1-34

Prior art documents discussed:


Fock et al. Patent No. 4,460,746

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The modifications to the title and claims set forth in the attached examiner's amendment places the application in condtion for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)